UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,394	10/17/2005	Kazuaki Tsuji	03039PCT	1632
23165 ROBERT J JA	7590 07/27/2007		EXAMINER	
650 BRIMHAI	LL STREET SOUTH		LEE, GILBERT Y	
ST PAUL, MN 551161511		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/549,394		TSUJI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Gilbert Y. Lee	3673		

	Gilbert Y. Lee	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a l ving replies: (1) an amend tice of Appeal (with appea	Notice of Appeal. To avoid ab ment, affidavit, or other evide I fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspondin shortened statutory period for r than three months after the	g amount of the fee. The approp reply originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filin	a a brief, will not be entered t	oecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		finally rejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		f Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		b) will be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	тата тогон от арронаса.					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-14</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>0-74</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of d sufficient reasons why th	filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> ne affidavit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections un	der appeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		• •			
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the app	lication in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:	, , , , , , ,	PATRICIA ENGLE	Eyon 3			
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	INER >			
		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 36				
		7-25-07				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claim 6 requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regards to the applicant's arguments of claims 13 and 14, the arguments are not persuasive because as the applicant recites, the claim requires the second edge to be "composed of straight lines" which C is labeled as. Straight sloping edge is connected to the second projecting edge through other edges of the seal. The applicant also argues that the first and second projecting edges are not projecting obliquely from the straight bottom edge, the applicant is directed to the third definition of oblique in Applicant's Attachment C "diverging from a given straight line or course". Clearly the first and second projecting edges as labeled in Examiner's Attachment A in Paper No. 20070416 shows the projecting edges "diverging from a given straight line or course".

The new IDS also requires further consideration.